



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Maelig POMMERET et al.

Group Art Unit: 2875

Application No.: 10/617,758

Examiner: J. CHOI

Filed: July 14, 2003

Docket No.: 112059.01

For: FRAMING FOR A WINDOW OF A MOTOR VEHICLE PASSENGER
COMPARTMENT, AND A MOTOR VEHICLE DOOR INCLUDING SUCH
FRAMING

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the Office Action mailed October 5, 2005, reconsideration of the above-identified application is respectfully requested. Claims 1-5 are pending. Applicants appreciate the allowance of claims 3 and 4.

Further to the Amendment filed August 19, 2005, Applicants again request that the Examiner acknowledge receipt of the priority document in the parent application. A certified copy of the priority document, French Patent Application No. 0102597 was filed in parent Application No. 10/080,548 on June 3, 2002. In order for the Examiner to acknowledge receipt of the priority document as having been received in the parent Application (box 12), a), 2 of Form PTOL-326, Applicants again herein enclose a copy of the date-stamped receipt (that confirms that a priority document was filed on June 3, 2002 in the parent application) and a first page of the priority document (that confirms that a copy of the French Patent

Application No. 0102597 was filed). It is requested that the Examiner acknowledge receipt of the priority document in the parent application.

Claims 1, 2 and 5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over Wooldridge et al. (Wooldridge), U.S. Patent No. 6,086,230. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Wooldridge fails to disclose a framing for a window with a support face between an inside edge and an outside edge of a framing for being covered by a periphery of the window that is connected in a sealed manner to the outside edge, as recited in claim 1.

Page 3 of the Office Action labels Wooldridge's Fig. 7 and identifies a support face located between an inside edge and an outside edge. However, the identified window is located below the identified support face at the inside edge. Because the window is located at the inside edge (and below the identified frame), the window does not cover the support face and the window is not connected in a sealed manner to the outside edge. In other words, Wooldridge fails to disclose a support face covered by a periphery of a window that is connected in a sealed manner to an outside edge, as recited in claim 1.

Should the Examiner consider the outside face (right side in Fig. 7) of Wooldridge's control board 92 as corresponding to the support face of claim 1, then Wooldridge fails to disclose a framing including an arrangement on the support face for receiving a light source, and a housing being arranged to receive the light source in such a manner as to enable the light source to emit light out from the housing both towards the outside and towards the inside of the vehicle, as recited in claim 1.

As shown in Wooldridge's Fig. 7, the outside face (right side) of the control board 92 includes an arrangement for receiving a lamp 94. However, Wooldridge's housing receives the lamp 94 in such a manner as to enable the lamp 94 to emit light out from the housing only towards the outside (and not the inside) of the vehicle.

Should the Examiner consider the inside face (left side in Fig. 7) of Wooldridge's control board 92 as corresponding to the support face of claim 1, then Wooldridge's housing receives a lamp 96 in such a manner as to enable the lamp 96 to emit light out from the housing only towards the inside (and not the outside) of the vehicle. As shown in Wooldridge's Fig. 7, the inside face (left side) of the control board 92 also includes an arrangement for receiving a lamp 94. Wooldridge thus suffers deficiencies in that two light sources are located at two support faces using two arrangements in order to emit light both towards the inside and the outside of the vehicle.

It is respectfully requested that the rejection be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



William P. Berridge
Registration No. 30,024

Scott M. Schulte
Registration No. 44,325

WPB:SMS/sxb

Attachments:

June 3, 2002 Date-stamped Receipt
First Page of Priority Document

Date: December 30, 2005

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--